S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.4947/2006

Date : 06.11.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. R.S. Choudhary for the applicant.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State.
Mr. S.D. Purohit for complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that other co-accused have been granted bail by this Court, therefore, the matter of present applicant should also be considered for granting them bail. He submits that allegations against the applicants are under Sections 332, 353, 342, 382 and 504 IPC.

In the present matter, learned Sessions Judge, Hanumangarh has not finally disposed of the bail application and it has been ordered that the applicant shall be free to

move application for bail after 10 days. It appears that learned Sessions Judge passed the order on 01.11.2006 and today i.e. 6th November, the matter has come up for argument on bail application, therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, I do not feel inclined to consider the application for bail at this stage unless the applicant applies for bail as per direction issued by the learned Sessions Judge, Hanumangarh.

The bail application stands disposed of as indicated hereinabove.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vij